

# SOFF Readiness Funding Request Template

Version 2.0

April 2023

Systematic Observations
Financing Facility

Weather and climate data for resilience





# **SOFF Readiness Funding Request**

The funding request should be prepared by the SOFF beneficiary country in collaboration with the SOFF peer advisor in coordination with the prospective SOFF Implementing Entity. In case of questions on how to complete this template, please contact the SOFF Secretariat at: soffsecretariat@wmo.int.

The SOFF Readiness Funding Request template includes the following sections:

- 1. Basic information
- 2. SOFF Programming criteria
- 3. Readiness phase outputs, timeline and budget
- 4. Monitoring
- 5. Readiness Phase Risk Management Framework

The Assignment Terms of Reference are included in Annex 1.



#### General recommendations to fill in in the template

**Section 2. Programming criteria:** Please make sure that you provide clear but succint information to relevant to the programming criteria. This is an essential requirement for the submission of the funding request to the Steering Committee.

• GBON gap and easy fixes: Please be aware of the limitations of SOFF scope of support. SOFF only supports GBON standard density and surface and upper-air stations over land. However, SOFF does encourage peers and beneficiary countries to during the Readiness phase look at the situation of GBON high-density networks (for those countries that already have them) and marine stations for potential easy fixes opportunities via SOFF support or other future support. We encourage beneficiary countries and peer advisors to ensure that the readiness funding request focuses on the areas of work related to SOFF scope of support to avoid misinterpretations and wrong expectations for the Investment and Compliance phase. For more guidance and details on SOFF scope of support, please see the GBON National Gap Analysis and the GBON National Contribution Plan technical guidance documents.

The information provided on the GBON Gap, and the easy fixes should be high-level, as the details are expected to be scoped out during the Readiness phase. Please avoid excessively detailed information on how many stations to rehabilitate/install.

 Maximize delivery capacity: Please clearly state any ongoing or planned activities in the country for which the peer advisor receives funding from other sources. This is a mandatory requirement, as per Assignment Agreement 5.4. If there are none, please explicitly state so.

**Section 3. Budget:** The budget is expected to reflect a strict and careful assessment of the costs for the provision of the advisory services, following a cost-recovery approach and abiding to the eligible expenditure categories according to the Umbrella Agreement. While a budget breakdown is not required in the funding request, the SOFF peer advisor must be in a position to provide copies of all the documents, including budget and costing breakdown, including for audit purposes.

**Section 6: Risk management framework** needs to be carefully developed indicating discrete risks and strong mitigation measures.

**Annex 1: Terms of Reference.** The delivery process needs to be described, including indicative timeline of planned activities, workshops, missions, delivery of the outputs and delivery team. Without this, the funding request cannot be submitted to the SOFF Steering Committee.



## 1. Basic information

SOFF Beneficiary Country	ZAMBIA
Country Focal Point	EDSON NKONDE Zambia Meteorological Department
Peer advisor	UK MET OFFICE
Peer advisor Focal Point	Tim Donovan
Prospective Implementing Entity	WORLD FOOD PROGRAMME
Prospective Implementing Entity Focal Point	Jesse Mason
Total budget USD	\$199,757
Delivery timeframe	6 months, from July to December 2023
Date of approval	10/05/2023

Signature SOFF Steering Committee co-chairs (after Steering Committee approval of the funding request)



# 1. SOFF Programming criteria

Please provide below an initial short description of the application of the <u>SOFF programming</u> <u>criteria</u> in the country.

Table 1: Programming criteria

Close the most significant data gaps	Based on the 200km horizontal resolution GBON requirements and criteria, Zambia needs a density of <b>19</b> surface stations, and 4 Upper-Air stations.
	Currently, the Upper Air stations are not operational for the past 20 years and most of the surface stations do not report regularly on most parameters due to limited and erratic resources for repair and maintenance. There is need to establish 4 upper air stations.
	The existing stations requires maintenance and upgrade of the observation and communication equipment.
Target easy fixes	Based on the WMO Global GBON Gap Analysis, 19 weather stations requires rehabilitation, these stations exist and manned, however they have short falls ranging from broken and old equipment for observation and communication
Maximize delivery capacity	The Met Office as Peer Advisor (PA) has experience in managing and sustaining its own nation's surface and upper air networks in line with GBON requirements The PA collaborates with WMO in developing observations network and data management policies, guidelines, and procedures. The PA also works with NMHSs in severa countries supporting development activities and has a long and strong relationship with ZMD.
	The PA has no ongoing or planned activities with Zambia.
	WFP as the implementing partner in Zambia has aided the department in procuring, installation of weather stations in Zambia. Furthermore, it has been engaged in the training under the crop insurance activities
Create leverage	Zambia Meteorological Department is a government department which receives an annual funding (though not enough) but part of the funds are used towards the operation and maintenance of the observation infrastructure.
*	The Word Bank's Transforming Landscapes for Resilience and Development (TRALARD) initiative is supporting expansion and modernisation of surface observation stations.



Sub-regional gains	s Zambia is a member of sub regional SADC grouping			
Ensure country balance	A Least Developed Country	2		

#### 2. Readiness phase outputs, timeline and budget

The Terms of Reference for the development of the SOFF Readiness phase outputs (see Annex I) provide more detailed information. They also summarize the roles and responsibilities, as stated in the <u>SOFF Operational Manual</u>, of the beneficiary country, the peer advisor, the prospective Implementing Entity and WMO Technical Authority for the delivery of the Readiness phase outputs.

The budget for the development of the SOFF Readiness phase outputs by the SOFF peer advisor shall be a lump-sum, fixed cost amount. It shall be calculated using a cost-recovery approach based on the peer advisors' standard cost recovery rates.

Please indicate the expected time required to deliver the Readiness outputs and the total budget. See example below.

Table 2: outputs, timeline and budget

Outmate	Timeline					
Outputs	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6 <sup>1</sup>
National GBON Gap Analysis						
GBON National Contribution Plan						
Country Hydromet Diagnostic (on demand)						
Total budget USD <sup>2</sup>			\$199	9,757		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is expected that the assignment is completed within six months. If more time is required for exceptional circumstances, please add additional months to the table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eligible expenditures are limited to: Staff and consultants; Consultations, national technical workshops, and communications; Travel and transportation costs; Other incidental expenditures.



#### 4. Monitoring

The beneficiary country and peer advisor shall notify the SOFF Secretariat on any delays that may impede the timely delivery of the Readiness phase outputs. If the assignment takes more than six months, the SOFF peer advisor shall submit semi-annual progress reports to the SOFF Secretariat (form to be provided by the SOFF Secretariat) stating the delivery status of the outputs.

The Readiness phase completion will be monitored by the peer advisor and the SOFF Secretariat using the following country-level Results Framework for the Readiness phase.

Table 3: Result framework

Outputs	Indicator	Target		
GBON National     Gap Analysis	GBON gap established and reviewed (Y/N)	GBON gap analysed and reviewed by WMO Technical Authority		
2. GBON National Contribution Plan Con	GBON national contribution plan developed (Y/N)	GBON national contribution plan developed and reviewed by WMO Technical Authority		
	GBON National Contribution Plan includes gender considerations (Y/N)	GBON National Contribution Plan includes gender considerations		
3. Country Hydromet Diagnostic (on demand)	Country Hydromet Diagnostic developed (Y/N)	Country Hydromet Diagnostic developed		

#### 4. Evaluation

An evaluation from both, the beneficiary country and the prospective Implementing Entity on the quality of support received by the peer advisor will be conducted at the end of the Readiness phase and the peer advisor's assignment (form to be provided upon completion of the Readiness phase by the SOFF Secretariat).



# 5. Readiness Phase Risk Management Framework

Please provide a brief description of the contextual, institutional, and programmatic risks that might hinder the effective delivery of the Readiness phase outputs.

Table 3: Risk Management Framework

Risk category	Description	Probability	Mitigation action
	Resurgence of covid or other health related issue.	Low	Remain vigilant to advice from relevant agencies. Work remotely, if necessary.
Contextual risks Risks related to conflicts, safety and political insecurity jeopardizing the	High-impact weather, leading to flooding and landslides.	Low	Remain vigilant to guidance from relevant agencies. Work remotely, if necessary.
delivery of the Readiness phase outputs	Personal safety from violent incident.	Low	Maintain low profile, maintain vigilance, and monitor relevant news and other channels for risks information. Work remotely, if necessary.
Institutional risks Risks related to the beneficiary country's institutions participation in the Readiness phase activities	Suitable resource availability from the NHMS, other relevant government agencies and NGOs to commit to the activity timetable.	Low	Effective planning and communication with all relevant agencies, and sure the benefits of engagement are clearly stated. Ensure buy-in to the project from all relevant agencies by actively engaging them from the onset. Seek additional support from the Policy, Project and



			Planning Unit within the Ministry.
Programmatic risks Risks related to country ownership of the Readiness phase outputs	Lack of understanding and cooperation to this initiative from government departments and other relevant agencies.	Low	Effective communication with all relevant agencies, and sure the benefits of engagement are clearly stated. That is ensure buy-in to the project from all relevant agencies by actively engaging them from the onset. Seek additional support from the Policy, Project and Planning Unit within the Ministry.



# Annex 1. Assignment Terms of Reference for the development of the SOFF Readiness phase outputs

#### 1. Purpose and scope

The purpose of this Assignment is to provide SOFF peer advisory services by UK Met OFFICE to ZAMBIA METEOROLOGICAL DEPARTMENT(ZMD) to develop the outputs of the SOFF Readiness phase as described in section 3 of these Terms of Reference.

The provisions defined in the Terms of Reference are based on the <u>SOFF Operational Manual</u>, in particular Section 4.4 on Operational Partners and Section 4.5.1 on the Readiness phase.

#### 2. Roles and responsibilities

#### Beneficiary country National Meteorological and Hydrological Service

- Is responsible for implementing the activities of the Readiness phase with the support from the peer advisor and the prospective Implementing Entity.
- Prepares the Assignment Terms of Reference following the standard Terms of Reference provided by the SOFF Secretariat, in collaboration with the peer advisor and in coordination with the prospective Implementing Entity.
- Submits the funding request for the SOFF Readiness phase support using the standardized template provided by the SOFF Secretariat.
- Is responsible for collaborating with the peer advisor to provide all the necessary information and participate in and facilitate the national activities the peer advisor needs to conduct in order to develop the Readiness phase outputs.
- Confirms receipt of the peer advisors' report with the Readiness phase outputs and provides comments on the outputs as needed.

#### Peer advisor

- Is accountable to the beneficiary country.
- In dialogue with the beneficiary country, provides independent technical advice, analysis
  and recommendations to support the beneficiary country in implementing the activities of
  the Readiness phase.
- Develops the Readiness phase outputs and is responsible for their quality and timely delivery. Communicates regularly with the beneficiary country and the Implementing Entity.
- Engages with the civil society, including on the identification of stakeholders of relevance for GBON implementation.
- Submits the final report with the Readiness phase outputs to the country for comments and to the prospective Implementing Entity for feedback.
- Submits the final report including the beneficiary country's comments and the prospective Implementing Entity's feedback to the SOFF Secretariat.



Notifies the SOFF Secretariat and the prospective Implementing Entity of any delays that
may impede the timely delivery of the outputs, and for assignments for which the delivery
takes more than six months submits a semi-annual progress report.

#### Implementing Entity

- Participates in the Readiness phase activities and collaborates with the beneficiary country
  and the peer advisor to ensure a common understanding of the Readiness phase outputs
  and that they address the technical needs for the design and implementation of the
  Investment phase.
- Contributes to the definition of the Terms of Reference and provides feedback on the outputs delivered by the peer advisor.
- Based on its experience in the beneficiary country, supports the work of the peer advisor,
   e.g. by sharing its knowledge and facilitating access to the network of relevant stakeholders.

#### WMO Technical Authority

- Provides basic technical support to the beneficiary country, peer advisor, and prospective Implementing Entity on GBON regulations.
- Is responsible for the technical screening of the draft GBON National Gap Analysis and the draft GBON National Contribution Plan against the GBON regulations.
- Is responsible for establishing and administering the pass-through mechanism for contracting and funding of the technical assistance provided by the peer advisors.

#### SOFF Secretariat

- Facilitates communication, coordination and collaboration between the beneficiary country, the peer advisor, the prospective Implementing Entity and WMO Technical Authority.
- Reviews the Readiness funding request, including the Terms of Reference, for compliance and consistency with the information requirements in the template and provides feedback as needed. Transmits the funding request to the SOFF Steering Committee for its decision.
- · Confirms receipt of the peer advisors' report with the Readiness phase outputs.
- Organizes exchange of knowledge and experiences and captures lessons learned.

#### 3. Readiness phase outputs

The peer advisor should perform the following tasks following the technical guidance and using the templates provided in the <u>operational guidance documents</u> for each one of the outputs. A summary of the key steps and modules to be conducted for each output is presented below.



#### 3.1 GBON National Gap Analysis

The GBON National Gap Analysis defines the gap between the mandatory requirements of the GBON regulations and the existing country surface and upper-air networks. In other words, it serves as the basis for identifying the number of observing stations that need to be installed or rehabilitated to comply with the mandatory requirements of the GBON regulations.

To develop the GBON National Gap Analysis, the following steps should be followed

- Step 1 Country information from the GBON Global Gap Analysis
- Step 2 Analysis of existing GBON stations and their status against GBON requirements
- Step 3 GBON Gap Analysis results
- Step 4 Country endorsement for integration of the GBON National Gap Analysis into the GBON National Contribution Plan

#### 3.2 GBON National Contribution Plan

The GBON National Contribution Plan identifies the infrastructure, human and institutional capacity needed to achieve a progressive target toward GBON compliance, including the sustained operation and maintenance of the national GBON observing network.

To develop the GBON National Contribution Plan, the following modules should be completed

- Module 1. National target toward GBON compliance: Establishment of a progressive national target toward GBON compliance
- Module 2. GBON business model and institutional development: public-private business model as appropriate; partnerships, institutional and financial arrangements needed to operate and maintain the observing network
- Module 3. GBON infrastructure development: Appropriate investments needed to increase or improve the observing network and its Information and Communication Technology (ICT) infrastructure
- Module 4. GBON human capacity development: Human technical and managerial capacities required to operate and maintain the observing network
- Module 5. Risk Management: Operational risks of the observing network and required mitigation measures
- Module 6. Transition to SOFF Investment phase: Support the beneficiary country and the Implementing Entity in preparing the Investment phase funding request (template provided by the SOFF Secretariat).

#### 3.3 Country Hydromet Diagnostics

The Country Hydromet Diagnostic (CHD) complements the GBON National Gap Analysis and the GBON National Contribution Plan. It is a standardized, integrated and operational tool and approach for diagnosing National Meteorological Services across the meteorological value chain, their operating environment, and their contribution to high-quality weather.



climate, hydrological and environmental information services and warnings. Its assessment serves as a basis for investments beyond SOFF, across the whole value chain, by the SOFF Implementing Entity and other development partners.

The peer advisor should assess the 10 CHD elements with its respective indicators following the matrix provided in the CHD guidance document.

- Governance and institutional setting
- Effective partnerships to improve service delivery
- Observational infrastructure
- Data and product management and sharing policies
- Numerical model and forecasting tool application
- Warning and advisory services
- · Contribution to climate services
- Contribution to hydrological services
- Product dissemination and outreach
- Use and national value of products and services

To develop the Country Hydromet Diagnostic, the following steps should be completed.

- Stage 1 Information gathering. As input, the WMO Monitoring Evaluation Risk and Performance unit will provide available country data structured along the CHD elements and their indicators (performed remotely)
- Stage 2 Validation and analysis (performed in-country if feasible)
- Stage 3 Closure

#### 4. Delivery process

The peer advisor in collaboration with the beneficiary country and in coordination with the prospective Implementing Entity should establish the specific activities and consultations needed to complete the outputs. The development of the outputs should include the following:

• Collaboration arrangements between the beneficiary country and the peer advisor, including at least one country visit, unless the country context does not allow it. The Met Office and the Zambia Met Department have enjoyed a strong relationship for many years as members of WMO. The partnership between the organisations will adopt a standard project management approach to the project. This will include routine meetings to discuss activity plans, resource and time allocation, and risks. It is envisaged that following a period of remote desk-based activity, an in-situ meeting will be conducted in month 3 to validate the gap analysis and discuss the initial plans for the national contribution plan and the country hydromet diagnostic. Further remote activity to complete the national contribution plan and country hydromet diagnostic will be followed by a final in-situ meeting in month 6 to agree the final drafts of the reports.



- Coordination arrangements with the prospective Implementing Entity. The Met Office will
  adopt a standard project management approach to the Readiness Phase and report to the
  Implementing Entity routinely and when in exception.
- In-person or virtual consultation meetings with relevant national and international stakeholders and partners Initial engagement with partners will be remote desk-based research, questionnaires, and on-line interviews. The findings will be validated with inperson meetings in months 3 (gap analysis) and 6 (national contribution plan and country hydromet diagnostic).
- Delivery partners that support the peer advisor in the delivery of the outputs, as applicable.
   Not applicable in this phase.
- Peer advisor delivery team and focal point. Tim Donovan will act as focal point for the readiness phase and will call upon expert colleagues from the Observations and International Development teams for support, as required.
- Timeline for the development of the outputs

Project initiation and inception - July 2023

GBON Gap Analysis - months 1 and 2.

GBON National Contribution Plan - months 3 to 6.



#### 5. Reporting and completion

**Reporting.** For assignments for which the delivery of advisory services takes more than six months, the SOFF peer advisor shall submit a semi-annual progress report to the SOFF Secretariat (form to be provided by the SOFF Secretariat).

#### Completion

- Step 1. The peer advisor submits the draft GBON National Gap Analysis and the GBON National Contribution Plan reports to WMO Technical Authority and, as applicable, the draft Country Hydromet Diagnostics to the Monitoring Evaluation Risk and Performance unit of the WMO Secretariat. The draft reports have to follow the templates provided in the SOFF operational guidance documents.
- Step 2. WMO Technical Authority screens the draft GBON National Gap Analysis and the draft GBON National Contribution Plan to ensure consistency with the GBON regulations.
   The WMO Monitoring Evaluation Risk and Performance unit screens the draft Country Hydromet Diagnostics and provides feedback for revisions as needed.
- Step 3. The peer advisor submits the report with the Readiness phase outputs for beneficiary country and prospective Implementing Entity feedback.
- Step 4. The peer advisor finalizes the report for confirmation of receipt by the beneficiary
  country and, as needed, beneficiary country comments. Following beneficiary country
  receipt of the report, the peer advisor submits the report, including beneficiary country's
  comments and the prospective Implementing Entity's feedback, to the SOFF Secretariat.
- Step 5. The SOFF Secretariat confirms the satisfactory receipt of the report and informs
  the country and the prospective Implementing Entity accordingly. The SOFF Secretariat
  authorizes WMO to proceed with the release of the final payment and informs the SOFF
  Steering Committee of the completion of the SOFF readiness phase.



### 6. Signatures

By signing this document, the beneficiary country, peer advisor and the prospective Implementing Entity agree with the provisions stated in this Terms of Reference.

Beneficiary country			
TO			
Ede.			
ZAMBIA			
Peer advisor			
UK MET OFFICE	PENELOPE	EYDGKIRD OFFICE	
	CEO MET	OFFICE	
Prospective Implementing Entity			
Jesse			
Jesse Mason, Senior Technical Advisor Cli	mate & Disaster Risk	Reduction Service	
WORLD FOOD BROCKAMME			